

UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/445,710	03/13/00	LEINO		H	32107
000116 IM62/1218			٦	EXAMINER	
000116 IM62/1218 PEARNE & GORDON, LLP			·	ALVO,M	
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE EAST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND	OH 44114-14	34		1731	6
				DATE MAILED:	12/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/445,710

Applicant(s)

LEINO et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Steve Alvo

Group Art Unit 1731



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;	, 403 0.0. 210.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire onger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond vapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of times 37 CFR 1.136(a).	month(s), or thirty days, whichever is within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-12	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are	subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, The drawing(s) filed on	the Examiner. _approved _disapproved. U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under the statement(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over OSTBERG et al ("Use of Carbon Dioxide In the Production of Sulphate Pulp").

See page 515, first paragraph for adding alkali, e.g. sodium hydroxide, to the pulp to adjust the temperature in combination with carbon dioxide to buffer the pH to a pH of 8.0. See page 512, second paragraph for treating bleached or unbleached sulphate pulp. See page 509, middle of page for "CO2 gas" dissolved. If the charge of alkali is not an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide then such would have been obvious as aqueous solutions of sodium hydroxide are commonly used in the treatment as pulp to raise the pH. The term "intended to contain calcium carbonate filler"in claim 8, is not a positive limitation.

Art Unit: 1731

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OSTBERG et al in view of G.B. Patent 815,527.

OSTBERG et al teaches adding alkali and carbon dioxide to counter each other's pH changing effect. See page 515, first paragraph for adding alkali, e.g. sodium hydroxide, to the pulp to adjust the temperature in combination with carbon dioxide to buffer the pH to a pH of 8.0. See page 512, second paragraph for treating bleached or unbleached sulphate pulp. See page 509, middle of page for "CO2 gas" dissolved. It would have been obvious to that the alkali of OSTBERG et al could have been an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide as such is taught by G.B. Patent 815,527. G.B. Patent 815,527 further teaches that the sodium hydroxide could be added prior to the carbon dioxide (page 2, lines 35-41). The term "intended to contain calcium carbonate filler"in claim 8, is not a positive limitation. Claim 9 is rejected as the use of pipes to add chemicals is well known in the papermaking art.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OSTBERG et al with or without G.B. Patent 815,527 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of ADMITTED PRIOR ART (specification, page 1, lines 6-11).

The ADMITTED PRIOR ART teaches that it is known to neutralize (pH 7-8.5) the pulp before adding calcium carbonate as a filler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the neutralized pulp of OSTBERG et al and/or G.B. Patent 815,527 could have the calcium carbonate of the ADMITTED PRIOR ART added to it as it is at the proper pH for calcium carbonate filler.

Art Unit: 1731

When filing an "Official" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file. The "Official" FAX phone numbers for this TC 1700 are:

Non-Final Fax:

(703) 872-9310

After-Final FAX:

(703) 872-9311

When filing an "Unofficial" FAX in Group 1730, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Unofficial" for Draft Documents and other Communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The "Unofficial" FAX phone number for this Art Unit (1731) is (703) 305-7115.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the **primary** examiner should be directed to **Steve Alvo** whose telephone number is (703) 308-2048. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 703-308-3837.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Group receptionist** whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The Customer Services Center for Technology Center 1700 (703-306-5665) shall provide the following service assistance to external and internal customers in the areas listed below.

Services provided:

Patent Application Filing Receipts
Missing References
Information regarding When Action can be Expected
Lost/Misplaced/Requested Application retrieval
Retrieval from PTO Publication Branch
Retrieval from non-Publication Branch

Art Unit: 1731

Status Queries (written or oral)
Paper Matching Queries
Certificates of Correction.
Printer Waiting

The Customer Service Office, TC 1700, is located in CP3-8-D13, and is open to receive requests for service in person, by phone (703) 306-5665, or E-mail "Customer Service 1700" from 8:30 am-5:00 pm each business day. The Customer Services Center is part of the Special Programs Office of TC 1700 and will be staffed by the Technical Information Specialists who will serve as Customer Service Representatives (CSR): Carolyn E. Johnson, Marshall Gaddis, Bessie Bowie, Lucy Jones.

MSA

December 14, 2000

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1731